

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/821,764	04/09/2004	Donald DeMotte	16676	1442	
50659	7590 08/28/2006		EXAMINER		
BUTZEL I	LONG	TRAN, KHOI H			
	IG DEPARTMENT MFIELD HILLS PARKWA`	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	SUITE 200			3651	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/821,764	DEMOTTE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khoi H. Tran	3651				
<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication app</li> <li>Period for Reply</li> </ul>	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address –				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicati D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE	07/27/2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits	is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-8 and 13-18</u> is/are v						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 9-12, 19, and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
•		- - - - - -				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			l (d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	I_(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 65 6.6.6. § 115(a)	(d) 01 (i).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	·	<b>-</b>				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.				
	VD.K	6.1				
	KHO!	H.TRAN				
Attachment(s)		CEXAMINER				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (FTO-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/821,764 Page 2

Art Unit: 3651

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The request filed on 07/27/2006 for a Request For Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 10/821,764 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 9-12, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huang et al. 6,286,656.

Huang et al. '656 disclose method for stacking cases on a pallet per claimed invention. The method comprises the steps of: supplying cases to a buffer 16 in a random order (at least column 8, second full paragraph); defining stacking rules or stacking principles for selecting cases from the buffer to be placed on respective pallet; determining physical characteristics of cases in the buffer including dimensions of a case base and case height; determining available locations on the pallet where a case in the buffer can be placed (at least column 8, lines 33-67); using physical characteristics of cases in the buffer and applying the stacking rules to at least a portion of the buffer cases; identifying a selected buffer case that satisfies at least one of the rules and a corresponding position on the pallet for the selected case; and using an

Application/Control Number: 10/821,764

Art Unit: 3651

industrial robot 17 (Figure 1) to place the selected case on the pallet at the corresponding position.

Huang et al. '656 method further comprises reapplying the previously determined stacking principle (i.e. corner-fit) before applying another stacking principle to a buffer case (i.e. stability-fit).

Huang et al. '656 comprises method step of determining available positions on the pallet by continually updating available regions on the pallet where a buffer case can be placed as cases are placed on the pallet. Huang et al. '656 continually replenishes the buffer 20 with cases after a buffer case is placed on the pallet.

Huang et al. '656 method comprises applying the stacking rules sequentially in a variable prioritized order (i.e. corner-fit first and stability-fit) to at least a portion of the buffer cases and the available locations.

Huang et al. '656 method comprises repeatedly applying the rules in a variable prioritized order to the buffer cases, repeatedly selecting for placement on the pallet a case that satisfies a stacking rule, repeatedly placing each case on the pallet in the corresponding location until the platform is filled with cases, supplying an unfilled pallet 11, and continually replenishing the buffer 16 with cases after a buffer case is placed on the pallet.

Huang et al. '656 comprises identifying physical characteristics of at least one case in the pallet including the case height, identifying one of the pallet cases having a case height equal to a case height of a selected buffer case and a corresponding

Art Unit: 3651

position adjacent the one pallet case for the selected case, and using an industrial robot to place the selected case on the pallet.

3. Claims 1, 2, 9-12, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Durrett et al. 5,501,571.

Van Durrett et al. '571 disclose a method for stacking cases on a pallet per claimed invention. The method comprises the steps of: supplying cases to a buffer 20 in a random order (column 1, lines 30-45); defining rules for selecting cases from the buffer 20 to be placed on respective pallet (full layer rule, height/weight rules for stability, and/or exception case rule); determining physical characteristics of cases in the buffer including dimensions of a case base and case height; determining available locations on the pallet where a case in the buffer can be placed (Figures 7-24); using physical characteristics of cases in the buffer and applying the rules to at least a portion of the buffer cases; identifying a selected buffer case that satisfies at least one of the rules and a corresponding position on the pallet for the selected case; and using an industrial robot 90 to place the selected case on the pallet at the corresponding position (Figures 7-24).

In regards to claim 2, Van Durrett et al. '571 method further comprises reapplying the previously determined rule (i.e. weight rule control loop) before applying another rule to a buffer case.

In regards to claim 9, Van Durrett et al. '571 method step of determining available positions on the pallet further comprises continually updating available regions on the pallet where a buffer case can be placed as cases are placed on the pallet; and

Application/Control Number: 10/821,764

Art Unit: 3651

continually replenishing the buffer 20 with cases after a buffer case is placed on the pallet (Figure 1A).

In regards to claim 10, Van Durrett et al. '571 method further comprises applying the rules sequentially in a variable prioritized order (i.e. heavy cases first) to at least a portion of the buffer cases and the available locations.

In regards to claim 11, Van Durrett et al. '571 method further comprises repeatedly applying the rules in a variable prioritized order to the buffer cases; repeatedly selecting for placement on the pallet a case that satisfies a rule; and repeatedly placing each case on the pallet in the corresponding location until the platform is filled with cases; supplying an unfilled pallet 99; and continually replenishing the buffer 20 with cases after a buffer case is placed on the pallet (Figure 1A).

In regards to claim 12, Van Durrett et al. '571 method further comprises reapplying the previously determined rule (i.e. weight rule control loop) before applying another rule to a buffer case.

In regards to claim 19, Van Durrett et al. '571 method step of determining available positions on the pallet further comprises continually updating available regions on the pallet where a buffer case can be placed as cases are placed on the pallet.

In regards to claim 20, Van Durrett et al. '571 method further comprises determining physical characteristics of at least one case in the pallet including known case height (height dimension of the case as it is being loaded on the pallet and the maximum allowable height for each layer of cases on the pallet); identifying a pallet cases having a case height equal to a case height of a selected buffer case and a

Art Unit: 3651

corresponding position adjacent the one pallet case for the selected case; and using an industrial robot to place the selected case on the pallet (Figures 15, 21).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 07/27/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that Van Durett et al. 5,501,571 do not anticipate the instant claims because the claimed invention do not reorder cases on the conveyor per Van Durett et al. '571. This argument is not persuasive. Van Durett et al. '571 still anticipate the claims because the claim language does not specifically exclude any reordering of cases.

Applicant argued that decision blocks and various partition plans in Van Durett et al. '571 do not correspond to a configurable set of rules per Applicant's claimed invention. This argument is not persuasive. It is the Office's position that Van Durett et al. '571 method of choosing locations for a box per various partitions plans are interpreted as configurable rules. Please note that as each decision is being made, it would have to abide by a set of rule. Applicant's claim language does not provide any specific distinction among the claimed rules and those of Van Durett et al. '571.

Applicant argued that Van Durett et al. '571 decisions (according to rules) are fixed and not "configurable". This argument is not persuasive. Applicant's claim language does not provide specific support for this argument.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoi H. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-6919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Khoi H Tran

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3651

KHT 08/21/2006